

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/849,626 05/03/2001 210121.478C16 Chaitanya S. Bangur 9720 10/09/2003 EXAMINER SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC FREDMAN, JEFFREY NORMAN 701 FIFTH AVE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 6300** SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092 1634

DATE MAILED: 10/09/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/849,626	BANGUR ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jeffrey Fredman	1634
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cov r sh t with the correspondence address		
THE REPLY FILED 11 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.		
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any sarried patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:		
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or		
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		
5.⊠ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: 14 and 19-24.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-13 and 1	<u>5-18</u> .	
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
0. Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		
Carter State Control of the Control		Jeffrey Fredman
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1634

Continuation Sh t (PTOL-303) 009/849,626

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment of the claim to "highly" stringent conditions will require further search and consideration because the term is not defined clearly in the specification. At page 87, lines 3-16, there is a discussion of hybridization stringency, but no specific definition for "high" as versus "moderate" stringency is provided. For example, both "high" and "moderate" stringency can be performed at 60 C with the same conditions. Therefore, it is unclear how this amendment would alter the description rejection and the rejection is therefore maintained..

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are drawn to the claims as amended and since the amendment was not entered for the reasons above, the arguments will not be considered.

Continuation of 10. Other: The IDS filed 9/11/03 will not be considered because it does not contain either the required fee or the required certification for consideration after final, and both of these elements are required for after final consideration of an IDS...